

ON PAIRS OF COMMUTING DERIVATIONS OF THE POLYNOMIAL RING IN TWO VARIABLES

ANATOLIY P. PETRAVCHUK

ABSTRACT. Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic zero, $k[x, y]$ be the polynomial ring and D a k -derivation of the ring $k[x, y]$. Recall that a nonconstant polynomial $F \in k[x, y]$ is said to be a Darboux polynomial of the derivation D if $D(F) = \lambda F$ for some polynomial $\lambda \in k[x, y]$. We prove that any two linearly independent over the field k commuting k -derivations D_1 and D_2 of the ring $k[x, y]$ either have a common Darboux polynomial, or $D_1 = D_{u_1}$, $D_2 = D_{u_2}$ are Jacobian derivations i.e., $D_i(f) = \det J(u_i, f)$ for every $f \in k[x, y]$, $i = 1, 2$, where the polynomials u_1, u_2 satisfy the condition $\det J(u_1, u_2) = c \in k^*$. This statement about derivations is an analogue of the known fact from Linear Algebra about common eigenvectors of pairs of commuting linear operators.

Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic zero, $k[x, y]$ be the polynomial ring over k . One of the important questions in study of derivations of polynomial rings is the question about existence Darboux polynomials for a given k -derivation $D \in \text{Der}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ (recall that a polynomial $F \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \setminus k$ is said to be a Darboux polynomial for a derivation D if there exists $\lambda \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $D(F) = \lambda F$). There are many papers about Darboux polynomials of derivations (see, for example [3], [6]). It is known that for every $n \geq 2$ the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a derivation without Darboux polynomials (see, for example [5]).

Since Darboux polynomials are in a sense eigenfunctions of the linear operator D on the vector space $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with polynomial eigenvalues, one can ask a natural question whether given two commuting derivations D_1, D_2 of the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ have a common Darboux polynomial. If $\text{char } k > 0$, then the answer to this question is positive, because every nonconstant polynomial of the form $f(x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p)$ is a Darboux polynomial (with zero eigenvalue) for any derivation $D \in \text{Der}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n])$. But in zero characteristic the answer is negative: for example, the derivations $D_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $D_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ of the polynomial ring $k[x, y]$ commute, but they have not any common Darboux polynomials. Besides, the case is possible when two derivations D_1 and D_2 are linearly dependent over the field k (and consequently commuting) and they have not any Darboux polynomials.

The main result of this paper shows that with the exception of above mentioned examples any two commuting derivations of the polynomial ring $k[x, y]$ always have a common Darboux polynomial.

The notations in the paper are standard (see, for example, [3]). For an arbitrary polynomial $u \in k[x, y]$ we denote by D_u the Jacobian derivation determined by the rule $D_u(f) = \det J(u, f)$ for $f \in k[x, y]$, where $J(u, f)$ is the

Partially supported by DFFD, Grant F28.1/026 .

Jacoby matrix of the polynomials u, f . The Lie algebra of all k -derivations of the polynomial ring $k[x, y]$ will be denoted by $W_2(k)$. Since every derivation $D \in W_2(k)$ can be uniquely written in the form $D = P(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ where $P(x, y) = D(x), Q(x, y) = D(y)$ we see that $W_2(k)$ is a free $k[x, y]$ -module of rank 2. For a derivation $D = P(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ we denote by $\text{div} D$ the divergence $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}$ of D .

A derivation $D = P(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ will be called reduced if P, Q are coprime as polynomials from $k[x, y]$. Every derivation $D \in W_2(k)$ can be uniquely extended to a derivation of the field $k(x, y)$ of all rational functions in two variables if we put $D(\frac{f}{g}) = \frac{D(f)g - fD(g)}{g^2}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $D_1, D_2 \in W_2(k)$ and D_1, D_2 be linearly dependent over the ring $k[x, y]$. Then there exist a reduced derivation D_0 and polynomials $f, g \in k[x, y]$ such that $D_1 = fD_0, D_2 = gD_0$. If $[D_1, D_2] = 0$, then $D_0(\frac{f}{g}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let

$$D_1 = P_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad D_2 = P_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

and $\mu_1 = \gcd(P_1, Q_1), \mu_2 = \gcd(P_2, Q_2)$. Denote by $\overline{D}_1 = D_1/\mu_1, \overline{D}_2 = D_2/\mu_2$ the corresponding reduced derivations. Since $\alpha D_1 + \beta D_2 = 0$ by conditions of Lemma for some $\alpha, \beta \in k[x, y]$, we also have $\alpha\mu_1\overline{D}_1 + \beta\mu_2\overline{D}_2 = 0$. Denote $\lambda_1 = \alpha\mu_1, \lambda_2 = \beta\mu_2$. It follows from the equality $\lambda_1\overline{D}_1 + \lambda_2\overline{D}_2 = 0$ that

$$\lambda_1\overline{P}_1 + \lambda_2\overline{P}_2 = 0, \quad \lambda_1\overline{Q}_1 + \lambda_2\overline{Q}_2 = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\overline{P}_i = P_i/\mu_i, \overline{Q}_i = Q_i/\mu_i, i = 1, 2$. From the equalities (1) it follows that

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} = -\frac{\overline{P}_1}{\overline{P}_2} = -\frac{\overline{Q}_1}{\overline{Q}_2}$$

and therefore $\overline{P}_1\overline{Q}_2 = \overline{P}_2\overline{Q}_1$. As $\gcd(\overline{P}_1, \overline{Q}_1) = 1$, we have $\overline{P}_1 = \lambda\overline{P}_2$ and $\overline{Q}_1 = \lambda\overline{Q}_2$ for some $\lambda \in k[x, y]$. One can analogously show that $\overline{P}_2 = \nu\overline{P}_1$ and $\overline{Q}_2 = \nu\overline{Q}_1$ for some $\nu \in k[x, y]$. Then it is easily shown that $\overline{D}_2 = c\overline{D}_1$ for some $c \in k^*$. Denote $D_0 = \overline{D}_1$. Then $D_1 = fD_0, D_2 = gD_0$ for some polynomials $f, g \in k[x, y]$.

Let now $[D_1, D_2] = 0$. It can easily be checked that

$$[D_1, D_2] = [fD_0, gD_0] = (D_0(f)g - fD_0(g))D_0 = 0.$$

This yields the equality $D_0(f)g - fD_0(g) = 0$. Consequently,

$$D_0\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \frac{D_0(f)g - fD_0(g)}{g^2} = 0.$$

□

The next result is a very special case of Theorem 2.4 from [2], where polynomial Lie algebras over the field $\mathbb{C}$ were studied. We give here an elementary proof of this special result over an arbitrary field.

Lemma 2. Let $D_1 = P_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $D_2 = P_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ be commuting derivations of the polynomial ring $k[x, y]$ over an arbitrary field k . Then for the determinant $\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} P_1 & Q_1 \\ P_2 & Q_2 \end{vmatrix}$ it holds $D_i(\Delta) = \Delta \cdot \operatorname{div} D_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Prove, for example, that $D_1(\Delta) = \Delta \cdot \operatorname{div} D_1 = \Delta(P'_{1x} + Q'_{1y})$. We have equalities

$$D_1(\Delta) = D_1 \left(\begin{vmatrix} P_1 & Q_1 \\ P_2 & Q_2 \end{vmatrix} \right) = \begin{vmatrix} D_1(P_1) & D_1(Q_1) \\ P_2 & Q_2 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} P_1 & Q_1 \\ D_1(P_2) & D_1(Q_2) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Since $[D_1, D_2] = 0$, we have $D_1(P_2) = D_2(P_1)$ and $D_1(Q_2) = D_2(Q_1)$ (this can be shown by straightforward check). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\Delta) &= \begin{vmatrix} D_1(P_1) & D_1(Q_1) \\ P_2 & Q_2 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} P_1 & Q_1 \\ D_2(P_1) & D_2(Q_1) \end{vmatrix} = \\ &= Q_2 D_1(P_1) - P_2 D_1(Q_1) + P_1 D_2(Q_1) - Q_1 D_2(P_1). \end{aligned}$$

After substituting $D_i(P_1)$ and $D_i(Q_1)$ in the last equality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\Delta) &= Q_2(P_1 P'_{1x} + Q_1 P'_{1y}) - P_2(Q_1 P'_{1x} + Q_1 Q'_{1y}) + P_1(P_2 Q'_{1x} + Q_2 Q'_{1y}) - \\ &\quad - Q_1(P_2 P'_{1x} + Q_2 P'_{1y}). \end{aligned}$$

After opening the brackets and cancellation we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\Delta) &= P_1 Q_2 P'_{1x} - Q_1 P_2 P'_{1x} + P_1 P_2 Q'_{1y} - Q_1 P_2 Q'_{1y} = \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} P_1 & Q_1 \\ P_2 & Q_2 \end{vmatrix} P'_{1x} + \begin{vmatrix} P_1 & Q_1 \\ P_2 & Q_2 \end{vmatrix} Q'_{1y} = \Delta \cdot \operatorname{div} D_1. \end{aligned}$$

One can analogously show that $D_2(\Delta) = \Delta \cdot \operatorname{div} D_2$. □

Lemma 3. Let D_{u_1}, D_{u_2} be Jacobian derivations of the ring $k[x, y]$ such that $\det J(u_1, u_2) = c \in k^*$. Then the derivations D_{u_1}, D_{u_2} do not have any common Darboux polynomials.

Proof. Let the statement of Lemma be false and F be a common Darboux polynomial for D_{u_1} and D_{u_2} . By Proposition 2.2.1 from [3] every nonconstant divisor of F is a Darboux polynomial for the both derivation D_1 and D_2 . Therefore without loss of generality one can assume that the polynomial F is irreducible. By Lemma 2.1 from [8] there exist elements $c_1, c_2 \in k$ such that $u_1 - c_1$ and $u_2 - c_2$ are divisible by F . Let $u_1 - c_1 = \lambda_1 F$ and $u_2 - c_2 = \lambda_2 F$ for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in k[x, y]$. Then we have

$$\det J(u_1, u_2) = \det J(u_1 - c_1, u_2 - c_2) = \det J(\lambda_1 F, \lambda_2 F).$$

But it is easily shown that

$$\det J(\lambda_1 F, \lambda_2 F) = F^2 \det J(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) + \lambda_1 F \det J(F, \lambda_2) + \lambda_2 F \det J(\lambda_1, F)$$

and consequently the polynomial $\det J(u_1, u_2)$ is divisible by F . This is impossible because $\det J(u_1, u_2) = c \in k^*$. This contradiction concludes the proof. □

Theorem 1. *Let $k[x, y]$ be the polynomial ring over an arbitrary field k of characteristic zero and D_1, D_2 be linearly independent over the field k derivations of $k[x, y]$. If $[D_1, D_2] = 0$ then either D_1 and D_2 have a common Darboux polynomial, or $D_1 = D_{u_1}, D_2 = D_{u_2}$ are Jacobian derivations, where u_1, u_2 are polynomials with $\det J(u_1, u_2) = c \in k^*$.*

Proof. Let $D_1 = P_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $D_2 = P_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Denote $\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} P_1 & Q_1 \\ P_2 & Q_2 \end{vmatrix}$ and consider at first the case when $\Delta = 0$. Then the rows of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} P_1 & Q_1 \\ P_2 & Q_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are linearly dependent over the ring $k[x, y]$ and hence $\alpha D_1 + \beta D_2 = 0$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in k[x, y]$. By Lemma 1 there exists a reduced derivation D_0 such that $D_1 = f D_0, D_2 = g D_0$ for some $f, g \in k$. Since $[D_1, D_2] = 0$, it follows from the same Lemma that $D_0(\frac{f}{g}) = 0$. Note that the rational function $\frac{f}{g}$ is nonconstant because otherwise the derivations D_1 and D_2 were linearly dependent over k which is impossible.

Consider D_0 as a derivation of the field of rational functions $k(x, y)$. Then $\frac{f}{g} \in \text{Ker } D_0$ and $\text{Ker}(D_0)$ is an algebraically closed subfield of the field $k(x, y)$ (see for example [3], Lemma 2.1).

By Corollary 2 from [7] either $\text{Ker } D_0 = k(p)$ for some irreducible polynomial p or $\text{Ker } D_0 = k(\frac{p}{q})$ where p, q are algebraically independent irreducible polynomials. In the first case the polynomial p is a common Darboux polynomial for D_1 and D_2 with eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$.

In the second case $D_0(\frac{p}{q}) = 0$ and by Proposition 2.2.2 from [3] $D_0(p) = \mu p, D_0(q) = \mu q$ for some $\mu \in k[x, y]$. It is obvious that p is a common Darboux polynomial for D_1 and D_2 (as well as the polynomial q).

Consider now the case $\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} P_1 & Q_1 \\ P_2 & Q_2 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$. If $\Delta \neq \text{const}$, then by Lemma 2 Δ is a common Darboux polynomial for D_1 and D_2 . Let now $\Delta = c \in k^*$. Then by Proposition 2.2.4 from [9] the derivations D_1, D_2 form a basis of the $k[x, y]$ -module $W_2(k)$ and are both the Jacobian derivations $D_1 = D_{u_1}$ and $D_2 = D_{u_2}$ with $\det J(u_1, u_2) = \Delta \in k^*$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 1. By Lemma 3 any two commuting derivations $D_1 = D_{u_1}$ and $D_2 = D_{u_2}$ with $\det J(u_1, u_2) = c \in k^*$ do not have any common Darboux polynomials.

Corollary 1. *Let D be a derivation of the ring $k[x, y]$ with a Darboux polynomial. If $D_1 \in W_2(k)$ and $[D, D_1] = 0$, then the derivation D_1 also has a Darboux polynomial.*

Proof. If D and D_1 do not have any common Darboux polynomial, then by Theorem 1 either D and D_1 are linearly dependent over k or $D = D_u$ and $D_1 = D_{u_1}$ for some polynomials $u, u_1 \in k[x, y]$ with $\det J(u, u_1) = c \in k^*$. The first case is impossible because the derivation D_1 has the same Darboux polynomial as D , in the second case $D_1 = D_{u_1}$ has the polynomial Darboux u_1 with the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Bodin A. *Reducibility of rational functions in several variables*, Israel J. Math. 164 (2008), 333–347.
- [2] Buchstaber V.M., Leykin D.V. *Polynomial Lie algebras*, Functional Analysis and its Applications, 36, no.4 (2002), 267–280.
- [3] Nowicki A., *Polynomial derivations and their rings of constants*, N. Copernicus University Press: Toruń, 1994.
- [4] Nowicki A. and Nagata M. *Rings of constants for k -derivations in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$* J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 28(1) (1988), 111–118.
- [5] Ollagnier J.M. and Nowicki A. *Derivations of polynomial algebras without Darboux polynomials*, Journ. of Pure and Appl. Algebra, 212 (2008), 1626–1631.
- [6] Ollagnier J. M. *Algebraic closure of a rational function*, Qualitative theory of dynamical systems, 5(2) 2004, 285–300.
- [7] Petravchuk A.P. and Iena O.G. *On closed rational functions in several variables*, Algebra Discrete Math., (2) 2007, 115–124.
- [8] Stein Y. *The total reducibility order of a polynomial in two variables*, Israel J. Math. **68** (1989), 109–122.
- [9] van den Essen, A., Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture, Progress in Mathematics, 190, Birkhauser Verlag, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND MATHEMATICAL LOGIC, FACULTY OF MECHANICS
AND MATHEMATICS, KYIV TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, VOLODYMYRSKA STREET,
01033 KYIV, UKRAINE

E-mail address: `aptr@univ.kiev.ua` , `apetrav@gmail.com`